

The Case Against Nancy Pelosi – Highlights

Nancy is not equipped to seriously and competently face, address, and manage, much less solve, the monumental, varied, and grave issues facing the nation.

Nancy thinks that government spending is always fine when democrats do it, because it's their intention that matters. As long as they have good intentions, their spending will cause the government to grow. As long as they *intend* to help people, there's no limit to the taxpayer money it's worth spending, no limit to the deficit and national debt, and no need to worry about the economic consequences. There's no need for an actual plan, no need for evidence of success, as long as Democrats are *trying* to help by spending money.

Nancy advocates an unprecedented expansion of government control and influence. She and her democrats constantly stoke citizens' fears and anxieties about financial and other "crises" that will continue unless government "does something." They use "crisis" to incrementally seize control of our private economy and hand it to the government. Under Nancy's "leadership," we are witnessing the socialization of the American economy. Her leftist agenda relentlessly undermines our nation's great Constitution, founding principles, and the free market; forcing citizen dependency on the government rather than themselves. She is utterly (and willfully) blind to government's proven limitations and inefficiencies, doesn't believe in the individual, and doesn't understand the nature of free markets. Her belief in collectivism's merit is delusional.

The evidence suggests that Nancy lacks even a rudimentary understanding of economic principles and history. Like many in congress, she is an economic illiterate. Despite overwhelming evidence that lower tax rates increase economic activity and tax revenues, Nancy refuses to acknowledge reality. She continues to commit the country to spending that is economically unsustainable and rife with serious negative consequences to economic activity. She is unconcerned about the economic consequences of her policy prescriptions.

Nancy's presence on Capitol Hill undermines the certainty and stability economic interests need to invest and take risks. By constantly pursuing and advocating major changes in the regulatory and tax environment, and trampling on contractual rights, Nancy's democrats discourage capital investment in the United States. Nancy doesn't appear to have any concern for the negative impact government changes and policies have on economic rights, expectations, or prosperity.

Partisan witch hunts of former leaders are a common practice in unstable dictatorships, not in the U.S. Yet Nancy stands with those who would prosecute the former administration over differences in policy and legal analysis about enhanced interrogation, while denying her own complicity in the interrogation program. Joined at the hip with obsessively partisan "hate-Bush" democrats, Nancy permits and encourages a witch hunt that will undoubtedly damage the country's national security. Displaying remarkable shortsightedness, Nancy is unconcerned with the many inevitable negative consequences of this self-righteous condemnation and public expression of contempt for the nation's security apparatus and CIA. Through this debacle, Nancy has created a political mess, destroyed her own credibility, diminished the Speakership, and proven herself to be intellectually dishonest for the sake of partisan political advantage.

Defeat Pelosi – Vote Dana Walsh for Congress – November 2010
www.danawalshforcongress.com

Nancy Pelosi is the poster child for anti-government tea parties. Nancy wants a society where most people are “net recipients” of the “shared wealth.” To do this the government must take more and spend more and control more, complicate more, burden more, and tax more. People are beginning to recognize that Nancy’s (democrat) policies are an assault on “life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.” They are rising up against a government that treats citizens as subjects, and dictates how they must run their lives, how much carbon they can exhale, how much of what they produce they can keep, and which medical procedures they’re entitled to. The tea parties are testimony to Nancy’s failure as leader and the wrongheadedness of her ideas.

In Nancy’s liberal vision of America, government is the unerring white knight delivering “equality of outcome” to thankful masses. To Nancy, liberal ideas and government solutions are always helpful and wise, and everyone would know this if only conservatives could be silenced. From her twisted perspective, unbridled success comes at the expense of the unsuccessful, who must be “taken care of” by government since the evil private market can’t be trusted to be “fair.”

Nancy misunderstands national sovereignty. Nancy believes it is “un-American” to enforce immigration laws, because doing so denies illegal immigrants their “rights,” as though they have the same rights as U.S. citizens. The trouble with this view is that without enforcement of immigration laws, national sovereignty can’t exist. Nancy forgets (or never knew) that the government’s first duty is to protect the interests of *its citizens*, not permit the interests of foreigners to control the country.

As a polarizing Machiavellian figure, Nancy seeks power and control as a first priority, without regard to consequences. She pursues ideological objectives (like nanny statism) based on party-line dogma without regard to facts and evidence, and without much concern for her constituents’ interests. If facts are inconvenient, she’ll find a way to bury them, rather than present the truth. She studiously chills dissent (witness her characterization of the CIA as liars) when it threatens exposure or embarrassment or interference. When pursuing legislative objectives she does everything possible to minimize knowledge of and debate over the details, deliberately striving to keep opponents and the public in the dark. She disdains open deliberation. She is demanding and arrogant in her approach to process and the opinions of others, seeing no need to persuade. She’ll do whatever it takes, including circumventing essential procedural mechanisms, to attain her objective.

Why do Nancy’s political practices and characteristics matter? Her objectives and practices diminish liberty and prosperity, overly burden citizens and the unborn, and punish and stifle innovation. They interfere with the economic engines essential to national greatness. They are immoral.

Defeat Pelosi – Vote Dana Walsh for Congress – November 2010
www.danawalshforcongress.com